

Lefschetz Numbers and Geometry of Operators in W^* -modules

M. Frank E. Troitsky

February 7, 2008

1 Introduction

The main goal of the present paper is to generalize the results of [18, 19] in the following way: To be able to define $K_0(A) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ -valued Lefschetz numbers of the first type of an endomorphism V on a C^* -elliptic complex one usually assumes that $V = T_g$ for some representation T_g of a compact group G on the C^* -elliptic complex. We try to refuse this restriction in the present paper. The price to pay for this is twofold:

- (i) We have to define Lefschetz numbers valued in some larger group as $K_0(A) \otimes \mathbf{C}$.
- (ii) We have to deal with W^* -algebras instead of general unital C^* -algebras.

To obtain these results we have got a number of by-product facts on the theory of Hilbert W^* - and C^* -modules and on bounded module operators on them which are of independent interest.

The present paper is organized as follows: In §2 we prove the necessary facts on Hilbert W^* -modules and their bounded module mappings extending results of W. L. Paschke [14], J.-F. Havet [5] and the first author [3]. In §3 we define Lefschetz numbers of two types and show the main properties of them. In §4 we discuss the C^* -case and obstructions to refine the main results of §3.

Our standard references for the theory of Hilbert C^* -modules are the papers [14, 15, 2, 9, 3, 10, 11] and the book of E. C. Lance [8]. The topological considerations are based on the publications [12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 11]. We are going to continue the investigations presented therein.

2 Hilbert W^* -modules and module mappings

We want to show some more very nice properties of Hilbert W^* -modules which often do not appear in the general C^* -case. This partial class of Hilbert C^* -modules was brought to the attention of the public by W. L. Paschke in his classical paper [14], and they are of use in many cases. The facts below can be reproved for the class of monotone complete C^* -algebras carrying out much technical work, cf. [4], but not for larger classes of C^* -algebras, in general. However, since we are going to understand the structure of general Hilbert C^* -modules and their C^* -duals better it suffices to treat the W^* -case, and we can avoid these technicalities. Let us start with a property generalizing the (double) annihilator property of arbitrary subsets of W^* -algebras.

Lemma 1 *Let A be a W^* -algebra and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ be a Hilbert A -module. For every subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ the bi-orthogonal set $S^{\perp\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a Hilbert A -submodule and a direct summand of \mathcal{M} , as well as the orthogonal complement S^\perp .*

Proof: The property of $S^{\perp\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ to be a Hilbert A -submodule is obvious by the definition of orthogonal complements. Since the A -dual Banach A -module \mathcal{M}' of \mathcal{M} is a self-dual Hilbert A -module by [14, Th. 3.2] one can consider the Hilbert A -submodule \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{M}' consisting of the direct sum of $S^{\perp\perp} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ and of the Hilbert A -module of all A -linear bounded mappings from \mathcal{M} to A vanishing on $S^{\perp\perp}$. The second summand is the orthogonal complement of $S^{\perp\perp}$ with respect to \mathcal{M}' by construction and hence, it is a self-dual Hilbert A -submodule and direct summand of \mathcal{N} by [3, Th. 3.2,Th. 2.8]. Consequently, the canonical embedding of $S^{\perp\perp}$ into \mathcal{N} is a direct summand of \mathcal{N} , and because of the submodule inclusion $S^{\perp\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ it is a direct summand of \mathcal{M} , too. •

Example 1 below shows that situations different to that described at Lemma 1 can appear e. g. for Hilbert C^* -modules over the C^* -algebra $A = C([0, 1])$.

Lemma 2 *Let A be a W^* -algebra, $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ be a Hilbert A -module and ϕ be a bounded module operator on it. Then the kernel $\text{Ker}(\phi)$ of ϕ is a direct summand of \mathcal{M} and has the property $\text{Ker}(\phi) = \text{Ker}(\phi)^{\perp\perp}$.*

Proof: By [14, Prop. 3.6] every bounded module operator ϕ on \mathcal{M} continues to a bounded module operator on its A -dual Hilbert A -module \mathcal{M}' . The kernel of the extended operator is a direct summand of \mathcal{M}' because of the completeness of its unit ball with respect to the τ_2 -convergence induced by the functionals $\{f(\langle \cdot, y \rangle) : f \in A_{*,1}, y \in \mathcal{M}'\}$ there, (cf. [3, Th. 3.2]). Consequently, the kernel of ϕ inside \mathcal{M} has to coincide with its bi-orthogonal complement in \mathcal{M} , and by Lemma 1 it is a direct summand. •

Example 1 Note, that the kernel of bounded A -linear operators on Hilbert A -modules over arbitrary C^* -algebras A is not a direct summand, in general. For example, consider the C^* -algebra $A = C([0, 1])$ of all continuous functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ as a Hilbert A -module over itself equipped with the standard inner product $\langle a, b \rangle_A = ab^*$. Define the mapping ϕ_g by the formula $\phi_g(f) = g \cdot f$ for a fixed function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} -2x + 1 & : x \leq 1/2 \\ 0 & : x \geq 1/2 \end{cases}$$

and for every $f \in A$. Then $\text{Ker}(\phi_g)$ equals to the Hilbert A -submodule and (left) ideal $\{f \in A : f(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in [0, 1/2]\}$, being not a direct summand of A , but nevertheless, coinciding with the bi-orthogonal complement of itself with respect to A .

Corollary 1 Let A be a W^* -algebra, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be two Hilbert A -modules and $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a bounded A -linear mapping. Then the kernel $\text{Ker}(\phi)$ of ϕ is a direct summand of \mathcal{M} and has the property $\text{Ker}(\phi) = \text{Ker}(\phi)^{\perp\perp}$.

Proof: Consider the Hilbert A -module \mathcal{K} formed as the direct sum $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ equipped with the A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} + \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{N}}$. The mapping ϕ can be identified with a bounded A -linear mapping ϕ' on \mathcal{K} acting on the direct summand \mathcal{M} as ϕ and on the direct summand \mathcal{N} as the zero operator. Since the kernel of ϕ' is a direct summand of \mathcal{K} containing \mathcal{N} by Lemma 2 its orthogonal complement is a direct summand of \mathcal{M} . The desired result turns out. •

Now we are in the position to give a description of the inner structure of arbitrary Hilbert W^* -modules generalizing an analogous statement for self-dual Hilbert W^* -modules by W. L. Paschke ([14, Th. 3.12]).

Proposition 1 Let A be a W^* -algebra and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ be a left Hilbert A -module. Then \mathcal{M} is the closure of a direct orthogonal sum of a family $\{D_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of norm-closed left ideals $D_\alpha \subseteq A$, where the closure of this direct sum is predetermined by the given on \mathcal{M} A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the A -valued inner products on the ideals are the standard A -valued inner product on A . Moreover, for every bounded A -linear mapping $r : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ there is a net $\{x_\beta : \beta \in J\}$ of elements of \mathcal{M} for which the limit

$$\|\cdot\|_A - \lim_{\beta \in J} \langle y, x_\beta \rangle$$

exists for every $y \in \mathcal{M}$ and equals $r(y)$.

Proof: Fix an arbitrary bounded A -linear mapping $r : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$. The kernel of r is a direct summand of \mathcal{M} by Corollary 1. Consider its orthogonal complement. Since r can be continued to an bounded A -linear mapping $r(\cdot) = \langle \cdot, x_r \rangle$ on the A -dual (self-dual) Hilbert A -module \mathcal{M}' of \mathcal{M} ($x_r \in \text{Ker}(r)^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$) and since the orthogonal complement of the kernel of r inside \mathcal{M}' is a direct summand isomorphic to $\{Ap, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ for some projection $p \in A$ by the structural theorem [14, Th. 3.12] for self-dual Hilbert W^* -modules the orthogonal complement of the kernel of r with respect to \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to the Hilbert A -module $\{I, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A\}$ for some norm-closed left ideal $I \subseteq Ap$ of A , where the left-strict closure of the left ideal I is the w^* -closed ideal Ap of A . Now, r can be identified with the element $x_r \in Ap$, and $x_r \in Ap$ is the left-strict limit of a net $\{x_\beta : \beta \in J\}$ of elements of $I \cap \mathcal{M}$, cf. [16, §3.12].

Finally, by transfinite induction one has to decompose \mathcal{M} into a sum of pairwise orthogonal direct summands of type $\text{Ker}(r)^\perp$ for bounded A -linear functionals r on \mathcal{M} , where $\text{Ker}(r)^\perp$ is always isomorphic to a left norm-closed ideal I of A with the standard A -valued inner product on it. •

We go on to investigate the image of bounded module mappings between Hilbert W^* -modules. In general, many quite non-regular things can happen as the example below shows, but embeddings of self-dual Hilbert W^* -modules into other Hilbert W^* -modules can be shown to be mappings onto direct summands in contrast to the situation for general Hilbert C^* -modules.

Example 2 Let A be the set of all bounded linear operators $B(H)$ on a separable Hilbert space H with basis $\{e_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Denote by k the operator $k(e_i) = \lambda_i e_i$ for a sequence $\{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of non-zero positive real numbers converging to zero. Then the mapping

$$\phi_k : A \rightarrow A \quad , \quad \phi_k : a \rightarrow a \cdot k$$

is a bounded A -linear mapping on the left projective Hilbert A -module A . But the image is not a direct summand of this A -module and is not even Hilbert because direct summands of A are of the form Ap for some projection p of A , and $1_A \cdot k$ should equal p . The image of ϕ_k is a subset of the set of all compact operators on H . Note, that the mapping ϕ_k is not injective.

The following proposition was proved for arbitrary C^* -algebras A , countably generated Hilbert A -modules \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} without self-duality restriction and an injective bounded module mapping $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ with norm-dense range by H. Lin [10, Th. 2.2]. We present another variant for a similar situation in the W^* -case.

Proposition 2 Let A be a W^* -algebra, \mathcal{M} be a self-dual Hilbert A -module and $\{\mathcal{N}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ be another Hilbert A -module. Suppose, there exists an injective bounded module mapping

$\alpha : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ with the range property $\alpha(\mathcal{M})^{\perp\perp} = \mathcal{N}$. Then the operator $\alpha(\alpha^*\alpha)^{-1/2}$ is a bounded module isomorphism of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} . In particular, they are isomorphic as Hilbert A -modules.

Proof: The mapping α possesses an adjoint bounded module mapping $\alpha^* : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ because of the self-duality of \mathcal{M} , cf. [14, Prop. 3.4]. Since $\alpha^*\alpha$ is a positive element of the C^* -algebra $\text{End}_A(\mathcal{M})$ of all bounded (adjointable) module mappings on the Hilbert A -module \mathcal{M} the square root of it, $(\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2}$, is well-defined by the series

$$(\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2} = \|\cdot\| - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\alpha^*\alpha)\|^{1/2} \left(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \left(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} - \frac{(\alpha^*\alpha)}{\|(\alpha^*\alpha)\|} \right)^k \right)$$

with coefficients $\{\lambda_k\}$ taken from the Taylor series at zero of the complex-valued function $f(x) = \sqrt{1-x}$ on the interval $[0,1]$. Moreover, because

$$\langle (\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2}(x), (\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2}(x) \rangle = \langle \alpha(x), \alpha(x) \rangle$$

and because of the injectivity of α the mapping $(\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2}$ has trivial kernel. At the contrary one can only say that the range of $(\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2}$ is τ_1 -dense in \mathcal{M} , (cf. [3]). Indeed, for every A -linear bounded functional $r(\cdot) = \langle \cdot, y \rangle$ on the self-dual Hilbert A -module \mathcal{M} mapping the range of $(\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2}$ to zero one has

$$0 = \langle (\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2}(x), y \rangle = \langle x, (\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2}(y) \rangle$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence, $y = 0$ since $(\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2}$ is injective and $x \in \mathcal{M}$ was arbitrarily chosen.

Now, consider the mapping $\alpha(\alpha^*\alpha)^{-1/2}$ where it is defined on \mathcal{M} . Since $(\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2}$ has both τ_1 -dense range and trivial kernel by the assumptions on α its inverse unbounded module operator $(\alpha^*\alpha)^{-1/2}$ is τ_1 -densely defined. One obtains

$$\langle \alpha(\alpha^*\alpha)^{-1/2}(x), \alpha(\alpha^*\alpha)^{-1/2}(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$$

for every x, y from the (τ_1 -dense) area of definition of $(\alpha^*\alpha)^{-1/2}$. Consequently, the operator $\alpha(\alpha^*\alpha)^{-1/2}$ continues to a bounded isometric module operator on \mathcal{M} by τ_1 -continuity. The range of it is τ_1 -closed (i.e., a self-dual direct summand of \mathcal{N}) and hence, equals \mathcal{N} by assumption. Finally, since the range of $(\alpha^*\alpha)^{-1/2}$ is norm-closed and τ_1 -dense in \mathcal{M} and since \mathcal{M} is self-dual the mapping α is a (non-isometric, in general) Hilbert A -module isomorphism itself. •

Corollary 2 Let A be a W^* -algebra, \mathcal{M} be a self-dual Hilbert A -module and $\{\mathcal{N}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ be another Hilbert A -module. Every injective module mapping from \mathcal{M} into \mathcal{N} is a Hilbert A -module isomorphism of \mathcal{M} and of a direct summand of \mathcal{N} .

For our application in §3 we need the following partial result:

Corollary 3 *Let A be a W^* -algebra, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be countably generated Hilbert A -modules and $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a Fredholm operator (see [13]). Then $\text{Ker } F$ and $(\text{Im } F)^\perp$ are projective finitely generated A -submodules, and $\text{Ind } F = [\text{Ker } F] - [(\text{Im } F)^\perp]$ inside $K_0(A)$.*

Proof: We denote by $\hat{\oplus}$ the direct orthogonal sum of two Hilbert A -modules, whereas \oplus denotes the direct topological sum of two Hilbert A -submodules of a given Hilbert A -module, where orthogonality of the two components is not required. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \hat{\oplus} \mathcal{M}_1$, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0 \oplus \mathcal{N}_1$ be the decompositions from the definition of A -Fredholm operator:

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 & 0 \\ 0 & F_1 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{M}_0 \hat{\oplus} \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_0 \oplus \mathcal{N}_1,$$

$F_0 : \mathcal{M}_0 \cong \mathcal{N}_0$, $F_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$, \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{N}_1 are the projective finitely generated modules. Let $x = x_0 + x_1$, $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}_0$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1$, and $F(x) = 0$, so $0 = F_0(x_0) + F_1(x_1) \in \mathcal{N}_0 \oplus \mathcal{N}_1$. Thus $F_0(x_0) = 0$, $F_1(x_1) = 0$, so $x_0 = 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Thus $\text{Ker } F = \text{Ker } F_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_1$. By Lemma 2 $\text{Ker } F$ is a projective finitely generated A -module and has an orthogonal complement. So, by Corollary 2,

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{M}_0 \hat{\oplus} \mathcal{M}'_1 \oplus \text{Ker } F \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}_0 \oplus \overline{F(\mathcal{M}'_1)}) \hat{\oplus} (\text{Im } F)^\perp$$

and $\text{Ind } F = [\text{Ker } F] - [(\text{Im } F)^\perp]$. •

The following example shows that the situations may be quite different for general Hilbert C*-modules and injective mappings between them:

Example 3 Consider the C*-algebra $A = C([0, 1])$ of all continuous functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ as a self-dual Hilbert A -module over itself equipped with the standard A -valued inner product $\langle a, b \rangle_A = ab^*$. The mapping $\phi : f(x) \mapsto x \cdot f(x)$, ($x \in [0, 1]$), is an injective bounded module mapping. Its range has trivial orthogonal complement, but it is not closed in norm and, consequently, not a direct summand of A . Nevertheless, the bi-orthogonal complement of the range of ϕ with respect to A equals A .

Lemma 3 *Let A be a W^* -algebra. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} be self-dual Hilbert A -submodules of a Hilbert A -module \mathcal{M} . Then $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}$ is a self-dual Hilbert A -module and direct summand of \mathcal{M} . Moreover, $\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a self-dual Hilbert A -submodule.*

If \mathcal{P} is projective and finitely generated then the intersection $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}$ is projective and finitely generated, too. If both \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are projective and finitely generated then the sum $\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q}$ is also.

Proof. Let $p : \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\perp$ be the canonical orthogonal projection existing by [3, Th. 2.8], (cf. [2] for the projective case). Let $p_Q = p : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\perp$. Since \mathcal{Q} is a self-dual Hilbert A -module p_Q admits an adjoint operator and $\text{Ker } p_Q \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ is a direct summand by Lemma 2. Consequently, it is a self-dual Hilbert A -submodule of $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. But $\text{Ker } p_Q = \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}$. To derive the second assertion one has to apply the fact again that every self-dual Hilbert A -submodule is a direct summand, cf. [3].

If \mathcal{P} is projective and finitely generated then every direct summand of it is projective and finitely generated, what shows the additional remarks. •

3 Lefschetz numbers

Throughout this section A denotes a W^* -algebra. This restriction enables us to apply the results of the previous section being valid only in the W^* -case, in general.

Let U be a unitary operator in the projective finitely generated Hilbert A -module \mathcal{P} . Then

$$U = \int_{S^1} e^{i\varphi} dP(\varphi), \quad (1)$$

where $P(\varphi)$ is the projection valued measure valued in the W^* -algebra of all bounded (adjointable) module operators on \mathcal{P} , and the integral converges with respect to the norm. So we have a bounded and measurable function

$$L(\mathcal{P}, U) : S^1 \rightarrow K_0(A), \varphi \mapsto [dP(\varphi)], \quad (2)$$

This function is bounded in the sense that there exists a projection which is greater than all values with respect to the partial order in the space of projections. Let us denote the set of such functions by $K_0(A)_S$.

Let us note that the Lefschetz numbers for compact group action considered in [19] can be thought of as evaluated (for unitary representation) in the subspace of finitely valued (simple) functions:

$$\text{Simple}(S^1, K_0(A)) \subset K_0(A)_S.$$

Suppose, $\mathcal{P} = A^n$. In the case of $L(\mathcal{P}, U) \in \text{Simple}(S^1, K_0(A))$ associate with the integral (1)

$$\int_{S^1} e^{i\varphi} dP(\varphi) = \sum_k e^{i\varphi_k} P(\mathcal{E}_k)$$

the following class of the cyclic homology $HC_{2l}(M(n, A))$:

$$\sum_k P(\mathcal{E}_k) \otimes \dots \otimes P(\mathcal{E}_k) \cdot e^{i\varphi_k}.$$

Passing to the limit we get the following element

$$\tilde{T}U = \int_{S^1} e^{i\varphi} d(P \otimes \dots \otimes P)(\varphi) \in HC_{2l}(M(n, A)).$$

Then we define

$$T(U) = \text{Tr}_*^n(\tilde{T}U) \in HC_{2l}(A),$$

where Tr_*^n is the trace in cyclic homology.

Lemma 4 ([19, Lemma 6.1])

Let $J : \mathcal{M} = A^m \rightarrow \mathcal{N} = A^n$ be an isomorphism, $U_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $U_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be A -unitary operators and $JU_{\mathcal{M}} = U_{\mathcal{N}}J$. Then

$$T(U_{\mathcal{M}}) = T(U_{\mathcal{N}}).$$

Similar techniques can be developed for a projective finitely generated A -module \mathcal{N} instead of A^n . For this purpose we take $\mathcal{N} = q(A^n)$, where q denotes the orthogonal projection from A^n onto its direct orthogonal summand \mathcal{N} . Then we set

$$U \oplus 1 : A^n \cong \mathcal{N} \oplus (1 - q)A^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \oplus (1 - q)A^n \cong A^n,$$

$$\tilde{T}U = \int_{S^1} e^{i\varphi} d(qPq \otimes \dots \otimes qPq)(\varphi).$$

The correctness is an immediate consequence of the Lemma 4.

Let us consider an A -elliptic complex (E, d) and its unitary endomorphism U . The results of §1 (cf. Prop. 2, Lemma 3, Lemma 3) and the standard Hodge theory argument help us to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5 *For the A -Fredholm operator*

$$F = d + d^* : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{ev}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{od}),$$

we have

$$\text{Ker}(F|_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{ev})}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_{ev}(\mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus H_{2i}(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$\text{Ker}(F|_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{od})}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_{od}(\mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus H_{2i+1}(\mathcal{E}),$$

where $H_m(\mathcal{E})$ is the orthogonal complement to $\text{Im } d \subset \text{Ker } d \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_m)$ and $H_m(\mathcal{E})$ are projective U -invariant Hilbert A -modules.

Proof. For $u_{2i} \in \Gamma(E_{2i})$ while

$$(d + d^*)(u_0 + u_2 + u_4 + \dots) = 0$$

we have

$$du_0 + d^*u_2 = 0, \quad du_2 + d^*u_4 = 0, \dots$$

Together with the equality

$$(du, d^*v) = (d^2u, v) = 0$$

one obtains

$$du_0 = 0, \quad du_2 = 0, \dots; \quad d^*u_2 = 0, \quad d^*u_4 = 0, \dots$$

what implies $u_{2i} \in \text{Ker}(d + d^*)$. On the other hand for $v_2 \in \text{Im } d$, $v_2 = dv_1$ we have

$$(v_2, u_2) = (dv_1, u_2) = (v_1, d^*u_2) = 0.$$

Thus $u_{2i} \in H_{2i}(E)$. Conversely, let $u = u_0 + u_2 + \dots$, $u_{2i} \in H_{2i}(E)$, i.e. $du_{2i} = 0$, ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), and for any $v_{2i-1} \in E_{2i-1}$ we have

$$(dv_{2i-1}, u_{2i}) = 0, \quad (v_{2i-1}, d^*u_{2i}) = 0,$$

so $d^*u_{2i} = 0$. Thus $u \in \text{Ker}(d + d^*)$. The invariance and projectivity follow from the proved identification and Corollary 3. •

Definition 1 We define *the Lefschetz number* L_1 as

$$L_1(\mathcal{E}, U) = \sum_i (-1)^i T(U|H_i(\mathcal{E})) \in K_0(A)_S.$$

Definition 2 We define *the Lefschetz number* L_{2l} as

$$L_{2l}(\mathcal{E}, U) = \sum_i (-1)^i T(U|H_i(\mathcal{E})) \in HC_{2l}(A).$$

After all the following theorem is evident:

Theorem 1 Let the Chern character Ch be defined as in [1, 6, 7]. Then

$$L_{2l}(\mathcal{E}, U) = \int_{S^1} (\text{Ch}_{2l}^0)_*(L_1(\mathcal{E}, U))(\varphi) d\varphi.$$

Remark 1 In situations, when the endomorphism V of the elliptic C^* -complex represents as an element of a represented there amenable group G acting on the C^* -complex then the A -valued inner products can be chosen G -invariant, what gives us the unitarity of V (see [11]). However, there is another obstruction demanding new approaches which will be shown at Example 4 below.

4 Obstructions in the C*-case and related topics

The aim of this chapter is to show some obstructions arising in the general Hilbert C*-module theory for more general C*-algebras than W*-algebras which cause the made restriction of the investigations in section three. The results underline the outstanding properties of Hilbert W*-modules. To handle the general C*-case we often need a basic construction introduced by W. L. Paschke and H. Lin. It gives a link between the W*-case and the general C*-case.

Remark 2 (cf.[9, Def. 1.3], [14, §4])

Let $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ be a left pre-Hilbert A -module over a fixed C*-algebra A . The algebraic tensor product $A^{**} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ becomes a left A^{**} -module defining the action of A^{**} on its elementary tensors by the formula $ab \otimes h = a(b \otimes h)$ for $a, b \in A^{**}$, $h \in \mathcal{M}$. Now , setting

$$\left[\sum_i a_i \otimes h_i, \sum_j b_j \otimes g_j \right] = \sum_{i,j} a_i \langle h_i, g_j \rangle b_j$$

on finite sums of elementary tensors one obtains a degenerate A^{**} -valued inner pre-product. Factorizing $A^{**} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ by $N = \{z \in A^{**} \otimes \mathcal{M} : [z, z] = 0\}$ one obtains a pre-Hilbert A^{**} -module denoted by $\mathcal{M}^\#$ in the sequel. It contains \mathcal{M} as a A -submodule. If \mathcal{M} is Hilbert then $\mathcal{M}^\#$ is Hilbert, and vice versa. The transfer of the self-duality is more difficult. If \mathcal{M} is self-dual then $\mathcal{M}^\#$ is self-dual, too. But,

Problem. Suppose, the underlying C*-algebra A is unital. Whether the property of $\mathcal{M}^\#$ to be self-dual implies that \mathcal{M} was already self-dual?

Other standard properties like e.g. C*-reflexivity can not be transferred. But every bounded A -linear operator T on \mathcal{M} has a unique extension to a bounded A^{**} -linear operator on $\mathcal{M}^\#$ preserving the operator norm, (cf. [9, Def. 1.3]).

Proposition 3 Let A be a C*-algebra, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be two Hilbert A -modules and $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a bounded A -linear mapping. Then the kernel $\text{Ker}(\phi)$ of ϕ coincides with its bi-orthogonal complement inside \mathcal{M} . In general, it is not a direct summand.

Proof: Let us assume, $\text{Ker}(\phi) \neq \text{Ker}(\phi)^{\perp\perp}$ with respect to the A -valued inner product of \mathcal{M} . Form the direct sum $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$. The mapping ϕ extends to a bounded A -linear mapping ψ on \mathcal{L} setting

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \phi(x) & : x \in \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & : x \in \mathcal{N} \end{cases} .$$

Extend ψ further to a bounded A^{**} -linear operator on the correspondent Hilbert A^{**} -module $\mathcal{L}^\#$. By Lemma 2 the sets $\text{Ker}(\phi)^\#$ and $(\text{Ker}(\phi)^{\perp\perp})^\#$ both are contained in the kernel $\text{Ker}(\psi)$ of ψ , which is a direct summand of $\mathcal{L}^\#$ and fulfils $\text{Ker}(\psi) = \text{Ker}(\psi)^{\perp\perp}$. This contradicts the assumption.

The second assertion follows from Example 1. •

Corollary 4 *Let A be a C^* -algebra and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle ., . \rangle\}$ be a Hilbert A -module. The kernel $\text{Ker}(r)$ of every bounded module mapping $r : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ coincides with its bi-orthogonal complement inside \mathcal{M} , but it is not a direct summand, in general.*

Corollary 5 *Let A be a C^* -algebra and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle ., . \rangle\}$ be a Hilbert A -module. Suppose, there exists a bounded module mapping $r : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ with the property $\text{Ker}(r)^\perp = \{0\}$. Then r is the zero mapping.*

Lemma 6 *Let A be a C^* -algebra and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle ., . \rangle\}$ be a (left) Hilbert A -module. For every bounded module mapping $r : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ the subset $\text{Ker}(r)^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a Hilbert A -submodule, and it is isomorphic as a Hilbert A -module to a norm-closed (left) ideal D of A equipped with the standard A -valued inner product $\langle ., . \rangle_A$.*

Proof: By Corollary 4 the set $\text{Ker}(r)^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ can be assumed to be non-zero, in general. Again, form the Hilbert A^{**} -module $\mathcal{M}^\#$ and extend r to a bounded A^{**} -linear mapping r' on it. The kernel of r' is a direct summand of $\mathcal{M}^\#$ isomorphic to a (left) norm-closed ideal of A^{**} as a Hilbert A^{**} -module by Corollary 1 and Proposition 1. Consequently, $\text{Ker}(r) \subseteq \text{Ker}(r') \cap \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^\#$ is isomorphic to a (left) norm-closed ideal D of A as a (left) Hilbert A -module. •

We want to get a structure theorem on the interrelation of Hilbert C^* -modules and their C^* -dual Banach C^* -modules. To obtain the full picture define a new topology on (left) Hilbert C^* -modules in analogy to the (right) strict topology on C^* -algebras A :

Definition 3 Let A be a C^* -algebra and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle ., . \rangle\}$ be a (left) Hilbert A -module. A norm-bounded net $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of elements of \mathcal{M} is *fundamental with respect to the right $*$ -strict topology* if and only if the net $\{\langle y, x_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in I\}$ is a Cauchy net with respect to the norm topology on A for every $y \in \mathcal{M}$. The net $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ converges to an element $x \in \mathcal{M}$ with respect to the right $*$ -strict topology if and only if

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \|\langle y, x - x_\alpha \rangle\|_A = 0$$

for every $y \in \mathcal{M}$.

Theorem 2 Let A be a C^* -algebra and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ be a (left) Hilbert A -module. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{M} is self-dual.
- (ii) The unit ball of \mathcal{M} is complete with respect to the right $*$ -strict topology.

Moreover, the linear hull of the completed with respect to the right $*$ -strict topology unit ball of \mathcal{M} coincides with the A -dual Banach A -module \mathcal{M}' of \mathcal{M} .

Proof: First, let us show the equivalence (i) \leftrightarrow (ii). Suppose the unit ball of \mathcal{M} is complete with respect to the right $*$ -strict topology. Consider an arbitrary non-trivial bounded module mapping $r : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ of norm one. Restrict the attention to the non-zero Hilbert A -submodule $\text{Ker}(r)^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ being isomorphic as a Hilbert A -module to a norm-closed (left) ideal D of A equipped with the standard A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ by Lemma 6. By [3, Th. 3.2] there exist nets $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \subset \text{Ker}(r)^\perp$ bounded in norm by one such that $\tau_2 - \lim_{\alpha \in I} x_\alpha = r$ inside the self-dual Hilbert A^{**} -module $((\text{Ker}(r)^\perp)^\#)'$. But, the values $r(y)$, $y \in \text{Ker}(r)^\perp$, all belong to A and, in particular, to the set of all right multipliers of the C^* -subalgebra and two-sided ideal $B = \langle \text{Ker}(r)^\perp, \text{Ker}(r)^\perp \rangle$ of A . Therefore, there exists a special net $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \subset \text{Ker}(r)^\perp$ such that

$$\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}} - \lim_{\alpha \in I} b(\langle y, x_\alpha \rangle - r(y)) = 0$$

for every $y \in A$, every $b \in B$, cf. [16, §3.12]. Since the set $\{by : b \in B, y \in \text{Ker}(r)^\perp\}$ is norm-dense in $\text{Ker}(r)^\perp$ one implication is shown. The opposit one follows from the formula

$$r(y) = \|\cdot\|_A - \lim_{\alpha \in I} \langle y, x_\alpha \rangle, \quad y \in \mathcal{M},$$

defining a bounded module mapping $r : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ for every norm-bounded fundamental with respect to the right $*$ -strict topology net $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \in \mathcal{M}$. By the way one has proved the conclusion that the A -dual Banach A -module \mathcal{M}' of every Hilbert A -module \mathcal{M} arises as the linear hull of the completed with respect to the right $*$ -strict topology unit ball of \mathcal{M} . •

Corollary 6 Let A be a C^* -algebra and D be a norm-closed (left) ideal of A . Then $\{D, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A\}$ is self-dual if and only if there is a projection $p \in A$ such that $D \equiv Ap$ and $p \in D$.

Proof: If D is self-dual then the identical embedding of D into A is a bounded A -linear mapping. It must be represented by an element $p \in D$ with the property $dp^* = d$ for every $d \in D$. That is, $pp^* = p \in D$ is positive and idempotent. The functional property of the mapping p gives the structure of D as $D \equiv Ap$. •

Theorem 3 Let A be a C^* -algebra and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ be a (left) Hilbert A -module. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. \mathcal{M} is A -reflexive.
2. Every norm bounded net $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of elements of \mathcal{M} for which all the nets $\{r(x_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$, ($r \in \mathcal{M}'$), converge with respect to $\|\cdot\|_A$ has its limit x inside \mathcal{M} .

Moreover, the linear hull of the completed with respect to this topology unit ball of \mathcal{M} coincides with the A -bidual Banach A -module \mathcal{M}'' of \mathcal{M} .

Proof: Suppose \mathcal{M} is not self-dual because otherwise one simply refers to Theorem 2. Obviously, the linear hull of the completion of the unit ball of \mathcal{M} with respect to this topology is a Banach A -module \mathcal{N} . Continue the A -valued inner product from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{N} by the rule

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \lim_{\alpha \in I} \langle x_\alpha, y \rangle$$

for every element $\langle \cdot, y \rangle \in \mathcal{M}'$, where $y \in \mathcal{M}$. Since the net converges with respect to the right $*$ -strict topology on \mathcal{M} , too, the limit x can be interpreted as an A -linear bounded functional on \mathcal{M} . This lets to the definition of the value $\langle x, x \rangle$ in the same manner. Consequently, \mathcal{N} is a Hilbert A -module containing \mathcal{M} as a Hilbert A -submodule and possessing the same A -dual Banach A -module $\mathcal{M}' \equiv \mathcal{N}'$. (Cf. [15] for similar constructions.) Moreover, the unit ball of \mathcal{N} is complete with respect to the new topology. Since the A -valued inner product on \mathcal{M} can be continued to an A -valued inner product on $\mathcal{M}'' \equiv \mathcal{N}''$ by [15, Th. 2.4] every element of \mathcal{M}'' can be described in this way, and \mathcal{N} is A -reflexive.

•

Example 4 Consider the C^* -algebra $A = C([0, 1])$ of all continuous functions on the unit interval as a Hilbert A -module over itself. Let U be defined as

$$U(f)(t) = e^{it} f(t), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

a unitary operator. Take this unitary operator as the generator of a unitary representation of the amenable abelian group \mathbf{Z} . All complex irreducible representations of \mathbf{Z} are one-dimensional. If we would like to apply A. S. Mishchenko's theorem in this case then we would have to have a finite spectrum for the generator U of the representation what is not the case. Beside this, the only projections inside A and, therefore, the only self-adjoint idempotent module operators on A are 1_A and 0_A , and there exists no spectral decomposition of elements and no non-trivial direct A -module summand inside A .

Remark 3 As it is known in all sufficient cases the morphism S gives an isomorphism of $HC_{2l}(A)$ and $HC_0(A)$ and we can work only with the second group. In this situation we can define the Lefschetz number $L_0 \in HC_0(A)$ as in [18] for general C*-algebras A .

But for K -groups valued numbers even in the case of an action of an e.g. amenable group G (see Example 4) we need some kind of infiniteness and convergence, so we have to pass to $K_0(A)_S$. The natural expression of this infiniteness of eigenvalues is the spectral decomposition, so we have to work with W^* -algebras, at least for L_1 . The crucial moment is that in this situation there is no theorem like [12].

Surely this argument is quite unexplicite and we have a chance for refinement e.g. for the monotone complete C*-algebras. But, the techniques for the monotone complete case are rather complicated and the results do only differ slightly from that of the W^* -case, cf. [4].

Acknowledgement. The authors are indebted to Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst for opening the opportunity of joint work at the University of Leipzig in correspondence to the local DAAD project "Non-commutative geometry".

The research of the second author was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research (Grant N 94-01-00108-a) and the International Science Foundation (Grant no. MGM000).

References

- [1] A. CONNES, Non-commutative differential geometry, *Publ. Math. IHES* **62**(1985), 41-144.
- [2] M. J. DUPRÉ, P. A. FILLMORE, Triviality theorems for Hilbert modules, In: *Topics in modern operator theory*, 5th International conference on operator theory. Timisoara and Herculane (Romania), June 2–12, 1980, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart: Birkhäuser Verlag, 1981, 71–79.
- [3] M. FRANK, Self-duality and C*-reflexivity of Hilbert C*-modules, *Zeitschr. Anal. Anwendungen* **9**(1990), 165-176.
- [4] M. FRANK, Hilbert C*-modules over monotone complete C*-algebras, to appear in *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 1995.
- [5] J.-F. HAVET, Calcul fonctionnel continu dans les modules hilbertiens autoduaux, preprint, Université d'Orléans, Orléans, France, 1988.
- [6] M. KAROUBI, Homologie cyclique des groupes et des algébres, *C. R. Ac. Sci. Paris, Série 1*, **297**(1983), 381-384.

- [7] M. KAROUBI, Homologie cyclique et K -théorie algébrique. I, *C. R. Ac. Sci. Paris, Série 1*, **297**(1983), no. 8, 447-450.
- [8] E. C. LANCE, Hilbert C^* -modules - a toolkit for operator algebraists, *Lecture Notes*, University of Leeds, School of Mathematics, Leeds, England, pp. 124, 1993.
- [9] H. LIN, Bounded module maps and pure completely positive maps, *J. Operator Theory* **26**(1991), 121-138.
- [10] H. LIN, Injective Hilbert C^* -modules, *Pacific J. Math.* **154**(1992), 131-164.
- [11] V. M. MANUĬLOV, Representability of functionals and adjointability of operators on C^* -Hilbert modules, preprint 1/94, Moscow State University, Dept. Mech. and Math., Seminar "Topology and Analysis", Moscow, Russia, Sept. 1994.
- [12] A. S. MISHCHENKO, Representations of compact groups on Hilbert modules over C^* -algebras (russ./engl.), *Trudy Mat. Inst. im. V. A. Steklova*, **166**(1984), 161-176 / *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **166**(1986), 179-195.
- [13] A. S. MISHCHENKO, A. T. FOMENKO, The index of elliptic operators over C^* -algebras (russ./engl.), *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, **43**(1979), no. 4, 831-859 / *Math. USSR - Izv.* **15**(1980), 87-112.
- [14] W. L. PASCHKE, Inner product modules over B^* -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **182**(1973), 443-468.
- [15] W. L. PASCHKE, The double B -dual of an inner product module over a C^* -algebra B , *Canad. J. Math.* **26**(1974), 1272-1280.
- [16] G. K. PEDERSEN, "C*-algebras and their automorphism groups", Academic Press, London-New York-San Francisco, 1979.
- [17] E. V. TROITSKY, The index of equivariant elliptic operators over C^* -algebras, *Annals Global Anal. Geom.*, **5**(1987), no. 1, 3-22.
- [18] E. V. TROITSKY, Lefschetz numbers of C^* -complexes, *Springer Lect. Notes in Math.*, **1474**(1991), 193-206.
- [19] E. V. TROITSKY, Some aspects of geometry of operators in Hilbert modules, preprint, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Fakultät für Mathematik, Bericht-Nr. 173, Jan. 1994.

Fed. Rep. Germany
Universität Leipzig
FB Mathematik/Informatik
Mathematisches Institut
Augustusplatz 10
D-04109 Leipzig
frank@mathematik.uni-leipzig.d400.de

Russia
Moscow State University
Fakulty of Mechanics and Mathematics
Chair of Higher Geometry and Topology
Vorob'ovy Gory
119 899 Moscow
troitsky@difgeo.math.msu.su